

REMARKS

In the Office Action dated May 17, 2005, the Examiner rejected claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12, and 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Clark et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,230,563) in view of *Dyck et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,393,913). Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12, 13, and 15-27 were also rejected under the obviousness-type double patenting doctrine as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 5 of U.S. Pat. No. 6,722,197 in view of *Clark*. Applicants have cancelled claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12, and 13, and claims 15-27 remain pending in the application.


With respect to the Examiner's double patenting rejection, Applicants submit herewith a terminal disclaimer to overcome this rejection. In light of the accompanying terminal disclaimer, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the double patenting rejection. Applicants believe the present claims to be in condition for allowance, and earnestly request early notification of same.

If, for any reason, the Examiner is unable to allow the application on the basis of this amendment and feels that a telephone conference would help clear up any unresolved matters, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

McDONNELL BOEHNEN
HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP

Dated: July 7, 2005


Marcus J. Thymian
Reg. No. 43,954